

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5423 SB	Title: Digital electronics/repair	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 01/22/2025
Agency Preparation: Chris Conn	Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 02/05/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/05/2025
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

200,166.00

Request # 080-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

1

Bill # 5423 SB

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill adds a new chapter to RCW 19 to create the right to repair, support, and service certain digital electronics. This bill goes into effect on 01/01/2026.

Section 3: This section, effective 01/01/2026, requires that “...original manufacturers make available to any independent repair provider or owner on fair and reasonable terms any parts, tools, and documentation intended for the diagnosis, maintenance, or repair of digital electronic products and parts that are first manufactured, and first sold or used in Washington after July 1, 2021”

Section 4: Provides guidelines for authorized repair providers on what information they must provide customers before accepting a customer’s device for repair.

Section 7: provides the Attorney Generals office the ability to bring cases under the consumer protection act RCW 19.86 in relation to this bill.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

no

II. C - Expenditures

This bill could increase court workloads, but it is likely to be minimal in nature. As such this will have no or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

no